

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Amendment of November 3, 2004, claims 1 through 5 were canceled and claims 6 through 10 were added. Applicant has just noticed that claims 7 and 8 inadvertently depend on claim 1, when they should depend upon claim 6. The appropriate corrections have been made.

The Examiner has rejected claims 9-10. These claims have been canceled.

In order to avoid having the patent application declared abandoned, a Notice of Appeal is being filed concurrently with this Amendment. This Notice also would permit arguing the rejection of claims 9-10 if this Amendment is not entered.

Until today Applicant has also inadvertently not noticed that corrections to the drawings are needed. Applicant is immediately requesting a patent draftsman to correct the drawings and will, as soon as the drawings are completed (The draftsman usually takes a few weeks.), provide them in a subsequent Amendment.

This Amendment and the subsequent Amendment, correcting the form of the drawings, should place the Application in order for allowance.

It is Applicant's intent to obtain a patent on the claims allowed by the Examiner. Should the Examiner be aware of any method preferable to that being utilized by the Applicant, Applicant would the Examiner informing Applicant of such method.

DATED this 22nd day of September, 2005.

Respectfully,

Jerry L. Losee

By Thompson E. Fehr
Thompson E. Fehr
Attorney
Registration No. 31353
(801) 393-6292